arXiv:1512.01179v3 [math.CA] 26 Feb 2016

A GENERALIZED FEJÉR'S THEOREM FOR LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

HUICHI HUANG

Abstract. We prove a generalized Fejér's theorem for locally compact groups.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle. When necessary, identify $\mathbb{T}$ with $[0,1)$ or $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Denote $e^{2\pi inx}$ by $e(nx)$ for a real number x and an integer n. For an f in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$, its Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $\hat{f}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x)e(-nx)\,dx$ and define $S_N(f)(x)$ as $\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{f}(n)e(nx)$ for every nonnegative integer N. The Fourier series of f is $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n)e(nx)$.

In classical Fourier analysis, an important question is pointwise convergence of $S_N(f)$ for f in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. By Carleson-Hunt theorem [C66, Thm. (c)] [H68, Thm. 1], the Fourier series $S_N(f)(x)$ of an f in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ with $1 < p < \infty$ converges to $f(x)$ almost everywhere. But in general the pointwise convergence does not hold even when f is continuous. Cf. [K04, Chap. II, Sec. 2].

If the Fourier series is replaced by its average, called the Cesàro mean or the Fejér mean,

$$\sigma_N(f,x) = \frac{1}{N+1}[S_0(f)(x) + \cdots + S_N(f)(x)] = K_N * f(x),$$

then one have a much better convergence. Here $K_N(x)$ is the Fejér's kernel (see section 2 for the definition).

Date: February 29, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 43A07; Secondary: 42B05, 42B08, 40A05.

Key words and phrases. Fourier series, Fejér's theorem, approximate identity, pointwise convergence.

In 1900, L. Fejér came up with an explicit criteria which tells us when and to which value $\sigma_N(f)$ converges. Cf. [F00][K04, Chap. I, Thm. 3.1(a)][G14, Thm. 3.4.1]. [1]

Theorem. [Fejér's theorem]

For an f in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$, if both the left and the right limit of $f(x)$ exist at some x_0 in $\mathbb{T}$ (denoted by $f(x_0+)$ and $f(x_0-)$ respectively), then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} K_N * f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x_0+) + f(x_0-)].$$

In particular, when f is continuous $\sigma_N(f,x)$ converges to $f(x)$ for every x in $\mathbb{T}$.

Note that the left and right limits of f at x_0 can be interpreted in terms of the finite partition $\{[0,\frac{1}{2}),[\frac{1}{2},1)\}$ of $\mathbb{T}=[0,1)$: [2]

$$f(x_0-) = \lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y\in[0,\frac{1}{2})}} f(x_0-y), \quad f(x_0+) = \lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y\in[\frac{1}{2},1)}} f(x_0-y).$$

Moreover

$$\int_{[0,\frac{1}{2})} K_n(t)\,dt = \int_{[\frac{1}{2},1)} K_n(t)\,dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

This observation motivates the following generalization of Fejér's theorem to locally compact groups.

Let G be a locally compact group with the unit e_G. A finite partition $\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_k\}$ of Borel subsets of G is called a **local partition** (at e_G) if each $A_j \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G.

Theorem 3.1. [A generalized Fejér's theorem]

Consider a locally compact group G with a fixed left Haar measure μ. Let $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ be an approximate identity of $L^1(G)$. Assume that there exists a local partition $\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_k\}$ of G such that $\lim_\theta \int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y) = \lambda_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$.

[1] For the history of Fejér's theorem, see [K06], wherein some applications and continuations of Fejér's theorem are also mentioned.

[2] Note that $y \to 0$ when $y \in [\frac{1}{2},1)$ makes sense since we identify 0 with 1.

For an f in $L^\infty(G)$, if there exists x in G such that $\lim\limits_{\substack{y\to e_G\\ y\in A_j}} f(y^{-1}x)$ (denoted by $f(x, A_j)$) exists for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\lim_\theta F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j).$$

Moreover if $\lim\limits_\theta \sup\limits_{y\in\mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(y)| = 0$ for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G, then for every f in $L^1(G)$ (or $L^\infty(G)$) such that each $f(x, A_j)$ exists for some x in G, we have

$$\lim_\theta F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j).$$

The paper is organized as follows.

In the preliminary section, we collect some definitions. In section 3, we prove Theorem 3.1 and its variant Corollary 3.2. To give some applications, various special cases (either abelian or non-abelian groups) of Theorem 3.1 are discussed in section 4.

Acknowledgements

Most of the paper was finished when I was a postdoctoral supported by ERC Advanced Grant No. 267079. I would like to express my gratitude to my mentor Joachim Cuntz. Part of the paper is carried out during a visit to Besançon, I thank Quanhua Xu for his invitation and hospitality, and Simeng Wang for his help. I thank Loukas Grafakos for answering my question as reading his excellent GTM book: *Classical Fourier Analysis*. At last I thank Stefan Cobzas and Ferenc Weisz for helpful discussions and comments.

2. Preliminary

Within this article G stands for a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure μ. Let e_G be the identity of G. Denote by $L^1(G)$ the space of integrable functions (with respect to μ) on G and by $L^\infty(G)$ the space of essentially bounded functions (with respect to μ) on G.

The **convolution** $f * g$ for f and g in $L^1(G)$ is given by

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y)$$

for every $x \in G$.

Definition 2.1. [Approximate identity] [G14, Defn. 1.2.15.]

An **approximate identity** is a family of functions $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ in $L^1(G)$ such that

(1) $\|F_\theta\|_{L^1(G)} \le C$ for all θ.

(2) $\int_G F_\theta(x)\, d\mu(x) = 1$ for all θ.

(3) $\lim_\theta \int_{\mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(x)|\, d\mu(x) = 0$ for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G.

There always exists an approximate identity in $L^1(G)$ [F95, Chap.2, Prop. 2.42].

In the rest of the section, we look at some concrete approximate identities.

The **Fejér kernel** for $\mathbb{T}$ is given by

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{j=-n}^{n} (1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1}) e(jt) = \frac{\sin^2 (n+1)\pi t}{(n+1)\sin^2 \pi t}$$

for every nonnegative integer n.

The Fejér kernel has many nice properties. Below we list some of them which would be frequently used throughout the paper. See [G14, p.181, Prop. 3.1.10] and [G14, p.205, (3.4.3)] for a proof.

Proposition 2.2. [Properties of K_n]

(1) $K_n(t) \ge 0$ for every t in $\mathbb{T}$ and $n \ge 0$.

(2) $\int_{\mathbb{T}} K_n(t)\, dt = 1$ for all $n \ge 0$.

(3) For $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have $\sup_{t\in[\lambda,1-\lambda]} K_n(t) \le \dfrac{1}{(n+1)\sin^2(\pi\lambda)}$, hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\lambda^{1-\lambda} K_n(t)\, dt = 0$.

So $\{K_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. In addition, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in\mathcal{N}^c} |K_n(t)| = 0$ for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of 0.

We identify $\mathbb{T}^d$ with $[0,1)^d$ or $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ when necessary. The inner product $x \cdot y$ of $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is given by $x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_dy_d$.

The **square Fejér kernel** for $\mathbb{T}^d$ is defined by

$$K_n^d(x_1, \cdots, x_d) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} K_n(x_j) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |m_j| \le n} (1 - \frac{|m_1|}{n+1}) \cdots (1 - \frac{|m_d|}{n+1}) e(m \cdot x)$$

for every nonnegative integer n.

Proposition 2.3. [Properties of K_n^d]

(1) $K_n^d(x) \ge 0$ for every x in $\mathbb{T}^d$ and $n \ge 0$.

(2) $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} K_n^d(x)\, dx = 1$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Proof. All these properties of K_n^d are induced by properties of K_n. See [G14, p.181, Prop. 3.1.10 & p.205, (3.4.3)].

(1) $K_n^d \ge 0$ since $K_n \ge 0$.

(2) $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} K_n^d(x)\, dx = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{T}} K_n(x_j)\, dx_j = 1$.

□

Also $\{K_n^d\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

The **Poisson kernel** $P_\theta(t)$ is given by

$$P_\theta(t) = \frac{1}{\pi\theta(1 + \frac{t^2}{\theta^2})}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta > 0$.

Then $\{P_\theta(t)\}_{\theta>0}$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ [G14, Example 2.1.16] and satisfies that $\lim\limits_{\theta \to 0} \sup\limits_{t \in \mathcal{N}^c} |P_\theta(t)| = 0$ for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$ since $|P_\theta(t)| \le \frac{\theta}{\pi(\theta^2 + \delta^2)}$ when $|t| \ge \delta$.

Define $P_\theta^d(x) = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d} P_\theta(x_j)$ for any positive integer d, then $\{P_\theta^d(x)\}_{\theta>0}$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The **Wigner semicircle kernel** $W_\theta(t)$ is given by

$$W_\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi\theta^2}\sqrt{\theta^2 - t^2} & \text{when } -\theta \le t \le \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta > 0$.

For a λ in $(0,1)$, by shifting the graph of $W_\theta(t)$ along the t-axis, we get a new function $W_{\theta,\lambda}(t)$ satisfying

$$\int_{(-\infty,0)} W_{\theta,\lambda}(t)\,dt = \lambda.$$

Also note that every $W_{\theta,\lambda}(t)$ is compactly supported in a closed interval shrinking to $\{0\}$ as θ goes to 0.

Define $W^d_{\theta,\lambda}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} W_{\theta,\lambda}(x_j)$ for any positive integer d, then $\{W^d_{\theta,\lambda}(x)\}_{\theta>0}$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and satisfies that $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathcal{N}^c} |W^d_{\theta,\lambda}(x)| = 0$ for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The $ax+b$ group (for convenience, denote it by F) is the group of affine transformations on $\mathbb{R}$ consisting of maps $x \to ax+b$ with $a>0$ and b in $\mathbb{R}$. We fix a left Haar measure $\frac{da\,db}{a^2}$ of F [F95, Sec. 2.2].

Define a family of functions $\{\Phi_\theta\}_{0<\theta<1}$ on F as follows:

$$\Phi_\theta(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{\pi\theta^3}\sqrt{\theta^2-b^2} & \text{when } 1-\theta<a<1+\theta \text{ and } -\theta\le b\le\theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $a>0$, b in $\mathbb{R}$ and $0<\theta<1$. As a topological space, the $ax+b$ group F is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}$. So here and below we use (a,b) to denote the transformation $ax+b$.

Then

(1) $\Phi_\theta \ge 0$.

(2) $\int_0^\infty\int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi_\theta(a,b)\frac{da\,db}{a^2} = \int_{1-\theta}^{1+\theta}\frac{1}{2\theta}\int_{-\theta}^{\theta}\frac{2\sqrt{\theta^2-b^2}}{\pi\theta^2}\,da\,db = 1$.

(3) Φ_θ is supported in $[1-\theta,1+\theta]\times[-\theta,\theta]$.

Hence $\{\Phi_\theta\}$ is an approximate identity of F and

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\sup_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{N}^c}|A_\theta(a,b)| = 0$$

for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $(1,0)$ (the identity of F) in F.

The continuous **Heisenberg group** $\mathbb{H}$ is the group of 3 by 3 upper triangular real matrices with diagonal entries 1, that is,

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & a & b\\ 0 & 1 & c\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right) | a,b,c\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

As a topological space $\mathbb{H}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a left Haar measure of $\mathbb{H}$ is $da\,db\,dc$.

Define

$$W_\theta^3(a,b,c) = W_\theta(a)W_\theta(b)W_\theta(c)$$

for every $\theta > 0$ and a, b, c in $\mathbb{R}$. Then it is easy to see that $\{W_\theta^3\}_{\theta>0}$ is an approximate identity of $L^1(\mathbb{H})$ and

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} \sup_{(a,b,c)\in\mathcal{N}^c} |W_\theta^3(a,b,c)| = 0$$

for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $e_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $\mathbb{H}$.

3. The main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [A generalized Fejér's theorem]

Consider a locally compact group G with a fixed left Haar measure μ. Let $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ be an approximate identity of $L^1(G)$. Assume that there exists a local partition $\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_k\}$ of G such that $\lim_\theta \int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) = \lambda_j$ for every $1 \le j \le k$.

For an f in $L^\infty(G)$, if there exists x in G such that $\lim_{\substack{y\to e_G \\ y\in A_j}} f(y^{-1}x)$ (denoted by $f(x, A_j)$) exists for every $1 \le j \le k$, then

$$\lim_\theta F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j f(x, A_j).$$

Moreover if $\lim_\theta \sup_{y\in\mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(y)| = 0$ for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G, then for every f in $L^1(G)$(or $L^\infty(G)$) such that each $f(x, A_j)$ exists for some x in G, we have

$$\lim_\theta F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j f(x, A_j).$$

Proof. Suppose f is in $L^\infty(G)$ such that each $f(x, A_j)$ exists for some x in G.

For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G such that $|f(y^{-1}x) - f(x, A_j)| < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \le j \le k$ whenever y is in $\mathcal{N} \cap A_j$.

Then

$$F_\theta * f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j) = \int_G F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)$$

$$= (\int_{\mathcal{N}} + \int_{\mathcal{N}^c}) F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j).$$

Consider $\int_{\mathcal{N}} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)$.

We have

$$\limsup_\theta |\int_{\mathcal{N}} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)|$$

$$\leq \limsup_\theta |\sum_{j=1}^{k} [\int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} F_\theta(y)(f(y^{-1}x) - f(x, A_j))\, d\mu(y) + (\int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) - \lambda_j) f(x, A_j)]|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \limsup_\theta \int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} |F_\theta(y)||f(y^{-1}x) - f(x, A_j)|\, d\mu(y)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \limsup_\theta |\int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) - \lambda_j|\, |f(x, A_j)|$$

$$\leq \limsup_\theta \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varepsilon \int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} |F_\theta(y)|\, d\mu(y) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \limsup_\theta |\int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) - \lambda_j|\, |f(x, A_j)|.$$

Note that for every θ

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} |F_\theta(y)|\, d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathcal{N}} |F_\theta(y)|\, d\mu(y) \leq \|F_\theta\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C,$$

and it follows from $\lim_\theta \int_{\mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(y)|\, d\mu(y) = 0$ that

$$\lim_\theta \int_{\mathcal{N}\cap A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) = \lim_\theta \int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y) = \lambda_j$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Therefore

$$\limsup_{\theta} |\int_{\mathcal{N}} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)| \le C\varepsilon.$$

Moreover

$$\limsup_{\theta} |\int_{\mathcal{N}^c} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y)| \le [\limsup_{\theta} \int_{\mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(x)|\, d\mu(x)] \|f\|_{L^\infty(G)} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{\theta} |F_\theta * f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)| \le C\varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This proves the first part of the theorem.

Now assume that $\lim_{\theta} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(y)| = 0$ for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G and f is in $L^1(G)$ such that each $f(x, A_j)$ exists for some x in G.

As before, we have

$$\limsup_{\theta} |\int_{\mathcal{N}} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j f(x, A_j)| \le C\varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Moreover

$$\limsup_{\theta} |\int_{\mathcal{N}^c} F_\theta(y) f(y^{-1}x)\, d\mu(y)| \le [\lim_{\theta} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}^c} |F_\theta(y)|] \|f\|_{L^1(G)} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Although Theorem 3.1 requires that a local partition $\{A_1, \cdots, A_k\}$ satisfies that every $\lim_{\theta} \int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y)$ exists, this assumption could be easily satisfied when one consider subnets of $\{F_\theta\}$. Note that

$$|\int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y)| \le \int_{A_j} |F_\theta(y)|\, d\mu(y) \le \|F_\theta\|_{L^1(G)} \le C$$

for all θ and j, so for any given approximate identity $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ of $L^1(G)$ and local partition $\{A_1, \cdots, A_k\}$ of G, there always exists a subnet Θ_1 of Θ such that every $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta_1} \int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\, d\mu(y)$ (denoted by $\lambda_j(\Theta_1)$) exists.

The argument goes as follows:

Consider the net of bounded complex numbers $\{\int_{A_1} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$. There is a subnet Θ' of Θ such that $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta'}\int_{A_1} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)$ exists and equals some λ_1. Then consider the net of bounded complex numbers $\{\int_{A_2} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)\}_{\theta\in\Theta'}$, as before, there exists a subnet of Θ'' of Θ' such that $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta''}\int_{A_2} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)$ exists and equals some λ_2 (also note that $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta''}\int_{A_1} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y) = \lambda_1$). Repeat this procedure. After finite steps, we can find a subnet Θ_1 of Θ such that every $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta_1}\int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)$ exists.

So we have the following variant of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Given any approximate identity $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ of $L^1(G)$ and local partition $\{A_1,\cdots,A_k\}$ of G, if for an f in $L^\infty(G)$, every $f(x,A_j)$ exists, then there exists a subnet Θ_1 of Θ such that every $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta_1}\int_{A_j} F_\theta(y)\,d\mu(y)$ exists (denoted by $\lambda_j(\Theta_1)$) and

$$\lim_{\theta\in\Theta_1} F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j(\Theta_1) f(x,A_j).$$

Moreover if $\lim\limits_{\theta\in\Theta_1}\sup\limits_{y\in\mathcal{N}^c}|F_\theta(y)| = 0$ for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of e_G, then for every f in $L^1(G)$ (or $L^\infty(G)$) such that every $f(x,A_j)$ exists for some x in G, we have

$$\lim_{\theta\in\Theta_1} F_\theta * f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j(\Theta_1) f(x,A_j).$$

4. Some special cases

Although Theorem 3.1 is of abstract form, it is very applicable. In this section we show this through some concrete examples including both abelian and non-abelian groups.

4.1. **d-torus.**

For $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_d)$ in $\{0,1\}^d$, define

$$I_k = \prod_{j=1}^{d} I_{k_j}$$

with $I_0 = [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $I_1 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Note that $\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} K_n(t)\,dt = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 K_n(t)\,dt = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n \geq 0$. So $\{I_k\}_{k\in\{0,1\}^d}$ is a local partition of $\mathbb{T}^d$ $(= [0,1)^d)$ such that

$$\int_{I_k} K_n^d(x)\,dx = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \int_{I_{k_j}} K_n(x_j)\,dx_j = \frac{1}{2^d}$$

for all $k \in \{0,1\}^d$ and $n \geq 0$.

For f in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, define

$$f(x, I_k) = \lim_{\substack{y\to 0 \\ y\in I_k}} f(x-y)$$

for every $k \in \{0,1\}^d$.

Corollary 4.1. Let $d \geq 2$. For an f in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and x in $\mathbb{T}^d$, if each $f(x, I_k)$ exists, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n^d * f(x) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{k\in\{0,1\}^d} f(x, I_k).$$

Proof. Apply the first part of Theorem 3.1 to the approximate identity $\{K_n^d\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and the local partition $\{I_k\}_{k\in\{0,1\}^d}$ of $\mathbb{T}^d$. □

4.2. **Euclidean space.**

For $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_d)$ in $\{0,1\}^d$, define

$$J_k = \prod_{l=1}^{d} J_{k_l}$$

with $J_0 = (-\infty, 0)$ and $J_1 = [0, \infty)$. Note that $\int_{-\infty}^0 P_\theta(t)\,dt = \int_0^\infty P_\theta(t)\,dt = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $\theta > 0$. So $\{J_k\}_{k\in\{0,1\}^d}$ is a local partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\int_{J_k} P_\theta^d(x)\,dx = \prod_{l=1}^{d} \int_{J_{k_l}} P_\theta(x_l)\,dx_l = \frac{1}{2^d}$$

for all $k \in \{0,1\}^d$ and $\theta > 0$.

For f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define

$$f(x, J_k) = \lim_{\substack{y \to 0 \\ y \in J_k}} f(x - y)$$

for every $k \in \{0,1\}^d$.

Corollary 4.2. For an f in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ or $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and x_0 in $\mathbb{R}$, if both the left and the right limits of $f(x)$ at x_0 exist (denoted by $f(x_0-)$ and $f(x_0+)$ respectively), then

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} P_\theta * f(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0+) + f(x_0-)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, for an f in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 2$, if every $f(x, J_k)$ exists for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} P_\theta^d * f(x) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^d} f(x, J_k).$$

Proof. Note that $\{(-\infty, 0), [0, \infty)\}$ is a local partition of $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\int_{(-\infty,0)} P_\theta(x)\, dx = \int_{[0,\infty)} P_\theta(x)\, dx = \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $\theta > 0$. Also

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}^c} |P_\theta(y)| = 0$$

for any neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$. Theorem 3.1 gives the proof of the first part. The second part follows directly by applying Theorem 3.1 to the local partition $\{J_k\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^d}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the approximate identity $\{P_\theta^d\}_{\theta > 0}$ of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

Corollary 4.3. Fix a λ in $(0, 1)$. For an f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if every $f(x, J_k)$ exists for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} W_{\theta,\lambda}^d * f(x) = \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^d} \prod_{j=1}^d \lambda^{1-k_j} (1 - \lambda)^{k_j} f(x, J_k).$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.1 to Consider the local partition $\{J_k\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^d}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the approximate identity $\{W_{\theta,\lambda}^d\}_{\theta > 0}$ of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that $\lim\limits_{\theta \to 0} \sup\limits_{x \in \mathcal{N}^c} |W_{\theta,\lambda}^d(x)| = 0$ for every neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore

$$\int_{J_k} W_{\theta,\lambda}^d(x)\, dx = \prod_{l=1}^d \int_{J_{k_l}} W_{\theta,\lambda}(x_l)\, dx_l = \prod_{j=1}^d \lambda^{1-k_j} (1 - \lambda)^{k_j}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 finishes the proof. □

Remark 4.4. In [FW06], H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz prove some theorems about convergence for convolutions of some special types of approximate identities with f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ at a Lebesgue point of f. Cf. [FW06, Thm. 4.6 & Thm. 7.2]). Corollaries 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 have some overlaps with, but are not covered by those in [FW06] since the points satisfying the assumptions of Corollaries 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 are not necessarily Lebesgue points.

A **Lebesgue point** of an f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a point x in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{m(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dm(y) = 0.$$

Here m is the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $B_r(x)$ is the open ball centered at x with radius $r > 0$ [R87, 7.6].

A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that every $f(x, J_k)$ exists is not necessarily a Lebesgue point.

For instance, consider $f = \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$, the characteristic function of $(0, 1)$, which is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f(0-) = 0$ and $f(0+) = 1$. However 0 is not a Lebesgue point [3] since

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{(-r,r)} |f(y) - f(0)| dy = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{(0,r)} |1 - 0| dy = \frac{1}{2}.$$

4.3. **The $ax + b$ group.**

Consider the partition $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ of F with $A_1 = (0, 1] \times (-\infty, 0]$, $A_2 = (0, 1] \times (0, \infty)$, $A_3 = (1, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0]$ and $A_4 = (1, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$.

Then $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ is a local partition of F and satisfies that

$$\int_{A_j} \Phi_\theta(a, b) \frac{da\, db}{a^2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

for every $1 \le j \le 4$.

[3] We can change the value of $f(0)$, but this does not affect the fact that 0 is not a Lebesgue point.

For instance

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_1} \Phi_\theta(a,b)\frac{da\,db}{a^2} &= \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^0 \Phi_\theta(a,b)\frac{da\,db}{a^2}\\ &= \int_0^{1-\theta} \frac{1}{2\theta}\,da \int_{-\theta}^0 \frac{2}{\pi\theta^2}\sqrt{\theta^2-b^2}\,db\\ &= \frac{1}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the following holds.

Corollary 4.5. For an f in $L^1(F)$ or $L^\infty(F)$, if every $f(x, A_j)$ exists for some x in F, then

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} \Phi_\theta * f(x) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^4 f(x, A_j).$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the local partition $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ of F gives the proof. □

4.4. **The Heisenberg group.**

For $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ in $\{0,1\}^3$, define $J_k = \prod_{l=1}^3 J_{k_l}$ with $J_0 = (-\infty, 0)$ and $J_1 = [0, \infty)$. Then $\{J_k\}_{k\in\{0,1\}^3}$ is a local partition of $\mathbb{H}$ at $e_{\mathbb{H}}$ such that $\int_{J_k} W_\theta^3(a,b,c)dadbdc = \frac{1}{8}$ for every $k \in \{0,1\}^3$.

We get the following.

Corollary 4.6. For an f in $L^1(\mathbb{H})$ or $L^\infty(\mathbb{H})$, if every $f(x, J_k)$ exists for some x in $\mathbb{H}$, then

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} W_\theta^3 * f(x) = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{k\in\{0,1\}^3} f(x, J_k).$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the local partition $\{J_k\}_{k\in\{0,1\}^3}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ gives the proof. □

References

[C66] L. Carleson. On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series. *Acta Math.* **116** (1966), 135–157.

[FW06] H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz. Wiener amalgams and pointwise summability of Fourier transforms and Fourier series. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **140** (2006), no. 3, 509-536.

[F00] L. Fejér. Sur les functions bornées et intégrables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **131** (1900), 984–987.

[F95] G. B. Folland. *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis.* Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[G14] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **249**. Springer, New York, 2014.

[H68] R. A. Hunt. On the convergence of Fourier series. 1968 *Orthogonal Expansions and their Continuous Analogues (Proc. Conf., Edwardsville, Ill.*, 1967) pp. 235–255 Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale, Ill.

[K06] J. P. Kahane. Commutative harmonic analysis. A panorama of Hungarian mathematics in the twentieth century. I, 159–192, *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, **14**, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[K04] Y. Katznelson. *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis.* Third edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[R87] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis.* Third edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

E-mail address: huanghuichi@gmail.com